



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/543,407	04/05/2000	Aaron P. White	920043.406	7308	
75	90 11/26/2001				
David D McMasters			EXAMINER		
Seed Intellectua	ll Property Law Group Pl	FORD, VANESSA L			
Seattle, WA 98104-7092					
,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1645	11	
			DATE MAILED: 11/26/2001	14	
				1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

										
Office Action Summary			Application No.		Applicant(s)					
			09/543,407		WHITE ET AL.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
		Vanessa L. Ford		1645						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply										
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)🖂	Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on <u>19 S</u>	September 2001							
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b) <u></u> Th	is action is non-f	inal.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Disposition	on of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 1-34, 41-44 and 48-53 is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6) 🗌	6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.									
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)🖾	Claims <u>1-34, 41-44 and</u>	<u>48-53</u> are subject to r	restriction and/or	election requirer	ment.					
Application	on Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.										
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐	None of:								
	1. Certified copies of t	he priority documents	s have been rece	eived.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.										
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).										
- ','					- \-/-					
Attachment	(s)									
15) Notice Notice Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-89) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Draw mation Disclosure Statement(s)	ving Review (PTO-948)	18)		y (PTO-413) Paper Patent Application (

Application/Control Number: 09/543,407

Art Unit: 1645

ELECTION/RESTRICTION

- 1. Applicant's response to the Restriction requirement filed in Paper No. 13 filed on September 19, 2001 is acknowledged. Applicant's election of Group I with traverse, claims 1-34, 41-44 and 48-53 is acknowledged. Groups II-IV, claims 35-37, 38-40, 45-47 and 54-55 have been cancelled.
- 2. Upon further consideration, it is the position of the Examiner that the Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-34, 41-44 and 48-53 contains multiple independent and distinct inventions and is therefore subjected to a new restriction requirement.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. In the event applicant elects Group I applicant is required to elect a gene. Claims 1-34, 41-44 and 48-53 are generic to plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species, based on structural and functional differences, comprising:

Species A, drawn to agfA gene

Species B, drawn to agfB gene

Species C, drawn to csgA gene

Species D, drawn to csgB gene

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/543,407

Art Unit: 1645

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Moreover, in the absence of restriction it would place an undue search and examination burden on the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 09/543,407

Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

6. Any inquiry of the general nature or relating to the status of this general application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308–0196.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600, Group 1640 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Office Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Should applicant wish to FAX a response, the current FAX number for the Group 1600 is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Vanessa L. Ford, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4735. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynette Smith, can be reached at (703) 308–3909.

Vanessa L. Ford

Biotechnology Patent Examiner

November 14, 2001

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600